

GCE

Applied Business

Unit F256: Business Law

Advanced GCE

Mark Scheme for June 2014

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of candidates of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, Cambridge Nationals, Cambridge Technicals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support, which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which marks were awarded by examiners. It does not indicate the details of the discussions which took place at an examiners' meeting before marking commenced.

All examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and the report on the examination.

OCR will not enter into any discussion or correspondence in connection with this mark scheme.

© OCR 2014

These are the annotations, (including abbreviations), including those used in scoris, which are used when marking

| Annotation | Meaning of annotation | |
|------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| BP | Blank Page – this annotation must be used on all blank pages within an answer booklet (structured or unstructured) and on each page of an additional object where there is no candidate response. | |
| ? | The response given is 'Unclear' to the marker. | |
| BOD | 'Benefit of doubt' but credit given. | |
| CONT | To indicate the response is in 'Context' of the relevant case study. | |
| × | Response is incorrect, no credit can be given. | |
| L1 | Use for Level of response answers to indicate Level 1. | |
| L2 | Use for Level of response answers to indicate Level 2. | |
| L3 | Use for Level of response answers to indicate Level 3. | |
| L4 | Use for Level of response answers to indicate Level 4. | |
| NAQ | The response is not incorrect but has 'Not answered the question'. | |
| OFR | Own figure rule. Use where indicated in the mark scheme. | |
| REP | 'Repeat' response repeats the same marking point. | |
| SEEN | 'Noted but no credit given' or to indicate all or part blank answer pages have been seen by the marker. | |
| 1 | Correct point/answer. Credit can be given. | |
| NUT | No use of context/Context cannot be awarded | |

Subject-specific marking instructions

Blank answer spaces

To be sure you have not missed any candidate responses you <u>must</u> check every page of the question paper and annotate any blank answer spaces with the following annotation:



Additional Objects

You must also check any additional pages (shown as Additional Objects) which the candidate has chosen to use.

Before you begin marking, use the Linking Tool, to 'link' any additional page(s) to the relevant question(s) and mark the response as normal.

<u>All</u> additional pages must be annotated with the 'SEEN' stamp, so it is clear to centres that the additional pages have been viewed by the marker.

Testing of QWC

In this external assessment the assessment of QWC will take place in Question 3b which is a levels of response question and carries 14 marks.

Marks are embedded within this question for assessing the quality of written communication. The following criteria are embedded within the levels of response for Question 3b.

Level 4:

Ability to present relevant material in a well planned and logical sequence. Material clearly structured using appropriate business terminology confidently and accurately. Sentences, consistently relevant are well structured in a way that directly answers question. There will be few, if any errors of grammar, punctuation and spelling.

[4 marks representing the appropriate level of written communication are embedded in this level of response].

Level 3:

Ability to present relevant material in a planned and logical sequence. Appropriate business terminology used. Sentences for the most part relevant presented in a balanced, logical and coherent manner which addresses the question. There will be occasional errors of grammar, punctuation and spelling.

[3 marks representing the appropriate level of written communication are embedded in this level of response]

Level 2:

Limited ability to organise relevant material. Some appropriate business terminology used. Sentences are not always relevant with material presented in a way that does not always address the question. There may be noticeable errors of grammar, punctuation and spelling.

[2 marks representing the appropriate level of written communication are embedded in this level of response]

Level 1:

Ability to communicate at least one point using some appropriate business terminology. Sentences have limited coherence and structure, often being of doubtful relevance to the main focus of question. Errors of grammar, punctuation and spelling may be noticeable and intrusive.

[1 mark representing the appropriate level of written communication is embedded in this level of response]

| Question | Answer | Mark | Guidance |
|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 (a) | Indicative content: offer – a statement without misrepresentation acceptance – unconditional consent to all terms consideration – some mutual exchange of value intention – both parties intend to be legally bound capacity – ability/authority to make a contract legality – within legal parameters. Exemplar response: Eg Consideration (1) both Jak Ltd and Josef Kotka must offer something in exchange (1). Eg The contract must not break UK legislation, for example, by trading illegal drugs (1). This is called 'legality' (1). | 8 | AO1: 4 AO2: 4 One mark for each correct identification up to a maximum of four identifications, plus a further one mark for each of four developments. Allow 'signing the contract' only if given as an indication of acceptance. Do not accept 'agreement' for 'acceptance'. |

| C | Question | Answer | Mark | Guidance |
|---|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | (b) | Indicative content: | 2 | AO1: 1 AO2: 1 |
| | | as described | | |
| | | matching sample | | One mark for a correct identification, plus a further one mark |
| | | no false advertising. | | for an explanation. |
| | | Exemplar response: | | Do not accept references to selling at the advertised price as this is an invitation to treat. |
| | | Eg Jak Ltd must make sure that any claims which it makes on its advertising literature are true (1). For example, it must not claim that the coffee comes from Denmark if it | | Context required for full marks. |
| | | does not (1). | | Accept examples as explanation. |
| | | Eg The ingredients for each type of Danish pastry must match those listed on the label (1) because the law states that the product must be as described (1). | | |

| Question | Answer | Mark | Guidance |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 (c) | Indicative content: work to be done with reasonable skill work to be done with reasonable care work to be done within a reasonable period of time proper standards of workmanship satisfactory quality of supplies. Exemplar response: Eg Customers should not be kept waiting at the counter for the service for too long (1) because the law states that they must be served within a reasonable length of time (1). Eg Jak Ltd's sales assistants must work with reasonable care (1). They must check that the mug is clean before filling it with coffee (1). | 6 | AO1: 3 AO2: 3 One mark for each correct identification up to a maximum of three identifications, plus a further one mark for each of three explanations. Context required. |

| Question | Answer | Mark | Guidance |
|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 (d) | Indicative content: units must be metric weights/measures should be stated at point of sale weights/measures should be accurate contents should match labelling weights/measures not misleading. Exemplar response: Eg Goods must be sold in metric sizes (1), therefore, the size of a small mug of coffee must be indicated in millilitres rather than fluid ounces (1). Eg The measures served must be accurate (1). An extra large mug of coffee must contain at least as much liquid as indicated at the point of sale (1). | 4 | AO1: 2 AO2: 2 One mark for each correct identification up to a maximum of two identifications, plus a further one mark for each of two explanations. Accept generic context. Accept examples as explanation. |

| Question Answer | | Mark | Guidance |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 (e) | Use levels of response criteria. | 6 | AO1: 2 AO2: 4 |
| | Indicative content: harm/injury damaged property unsafe/defective products strict liability – no need to prove fault producer/supplier of product reasonable use of product customer/consumer not at fault applies to third parties. Exemplar response: Eg The Consumer Protect Act makes a manufacturer liable for any injury caused by its product (L1) so long as it is being used in a manner which could be reasonably expected for that product (L1). Eg Jak Ltd is responsible for any harm its mugs of coffee cause (L2) provided they are drunk in a sensible manner (L2). Debbie was drinking normally from the mug when it cracked and scalded her, making Jak Ltd liable (L2). Debbie does not need to prove that Jak Ltd has done something wrong to cause the mug to break because its liability as producer is strict (L2). | | Level 2 (3 – 6 marks) Candidate explains how the Consumer Protection Act would make Jak Ltd liable for Debbie's injuries. Level 1 (1 – 2 marks) Candidate identifies issue(s) related to the Consumer Protection Act with no use of context. No matter how detailed a candidate's knowledge of the Consumer Protection Act is, if it is not applied to the injuries caused to Debbie it must be awarded Level 1 and a maximum of two marks. |

| Q | uestion | Answer | Mark | Guidance |
|---|---------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | (a) | Indicative content: • The Insolvency Act. Exemplar response: Eg Insolvency Act (1). Eg Insolvency (1). | 1 | AO1: 1 For one mark. Do not award incorrect names for the Act even if they have 'insolvency' in their title, eg do not award 'The Business Insolvency Act'. |

| Question | Answer Mark | Mark | Guidance |
|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 (b) | Indicative content: administration attempts to keep the company trading liquidation makes no attempt to save the company different purpose different expected outcomes sold as going concern. Exemplar response: Eg Administration attempts to save the company (1), whereas liquidation winds up the company for the maximum benefit of creditors (1). Eg A company might be placed in administration rather than liquidation because it is believed that a buyer can be found (1) and that the company can be saved (1). | 2 | AO1: 1 AO2: 1 One mark for a correct identification, plus a further one mark for an explanation. Accept implicit understanding of the difference between administration and liquidation. |

| Question | Answer | Mark | Guidance |
|----------|---------------------|------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 (c) | Indicative content: | 4 | AO1: 2 AO2: 2 One mark for each correct identification up to a maximum of two identifications, plus a further one mark for each of two developments. Response must be steps involved in administration, rather than liquidation or receivership. Do not accept liquidator or receiver. Accept administrator or insolvency practitioner. Do not award references to insolvency/liquidation, ie selling assets or paying back creditors in order of priority. Must be steps. Do not award vague answers. |

| Q | uestion | Answer | Mark | Guidance |
|---|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | (d) | Indicative content: • within 8 weeks of the employee starting work. Exemplar response: Eg 8 weeks (1). Eg Within 56 days (1). | 1 | AO1: 1 For one mark. Accept 2 months. Accept 56 - 62 days. |

| Question | Answer | Mark | Guidance |
|----------|---------------------|------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 (e) | Indicative content: | 6 | AO1: 3 AO2: 3 One mark for each correct identification up to a maximum of three identifications, plus a further one mark for each of three explanations. Accept generic context. |

| Question | Answer | Mark | Guidance |
|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 (f) | Use levels of response criteria. | 14 | AO1: 2 AO2: 3 AO3: 4 AO4: 5 |
| | Indicative content: • fair/unfair dismissal • discrimination – The Equality Act • redundancy – notice period, compensation • wrongful dismissal – notice requirements • legal rights after dismissal • Minimum Wage Act – minimum wage – age related • working time regulations – working hours, breaks • contracts of employment • employment conditions • common law duties • lack of training - new store managers • relocation – West Midlands staff. Exemplar response: A company is allowed to make employees redundant if the job role done by the employee is no longer required (L1). Jak Ltd needs to streamline its workforce in order to ensure the 12 newly acquired coffee shops are profitable (CONT). In such a situation, making surplus staff redundant does not breach employment legislation (L2). However, choosing to make redundant those who did not have Danish-like physical features is discriminatory and in contravention of The Equality Act (L3). The directors might argue that it was pure luck that the best employees happened to have Danish-like physical features (CONT), but the fact that they required the young employees to dye their hair blonde as a condition of employment shows their argument to be untrue. Choosing which workers to make redundant in a discriminatory manner puts Jak Ltd in serious breach of employment protection legislation (L4). | 14 | Levels of response Level 4 (10 - 14 marks) Candidate evaluates the extent to which Jak Ltd might be in breach of employment protection legislation. Level 3 (6 - 9 marks) Candidate analyses Jak Ltd's current position with regard to employment protection legislation. Level 2 (3 - 5 marks) Candidate applies knowledge and understanding of employment protection legislation to Jak Ltd's current position. Level 1 (1 - 2 marks) Candidate identifies employment protection issue(s) with no use of context. Please indicate each time a candidate achieves a particular level as this will help you allocate marks within that level. Context should be annotated every time L2 is awarded with the 'CONT' annotation. Non-contextual answer max Level 1. |

| (| Question | | Answer | Mark | Guidance |
|---|----------|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 3 | (a) | (i) | Indicative content: created by judges during court cases when no legislation to cover the case exists exercise judicial precedent decisions guided by fairness and equity binding in lower courts for future similar cases. Exemplar response: Eg Common law is created by judges (1) who make decisions guided by fairness and equity when no existing legislation applies (1). Eg Legal principles are laid down by judges (1) who exercise judicial precedent to create common law principles (1). | 2 | Up to two marks. Responses must refer to how common law is created, rather than what common law is or how it is used. No context required. |

| Question | Answer | Mark | Guidance |
|------------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 3 (a) (ii) | Indicative content: | <u>Mark</u> 2 | One mark for each correct identification up to a maximum of two identifications. Duties must be under common law. Do not award statutory or contractual duties. Accept generic context. Do not award 'paid their wages'. |
| | | | |

| Question | Answer | Mark | Guidance |
|-------------|---------------------|------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 3 (a) (iii) | Indicative content: | 2 | AO1: 2 One mark for each correct identification up to a maximum of two identifications. Duties must be under common law. Do not award statutory or contractual duties. Accept generic context. |

| C | uestion | Answer | Mark | Guidance |
|---|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 3 | (b*) | Use levels of response criteria. | 14 | AO1: 2 AO2: 3 AO3: 4 AO4: 5 |
| | | Indicative content: • Health and Safety at Work Act – spider, broken floor | | QWC is assessed in this question. |
| | | tile, leaking machine, puddle, warning signs common law duties of employees | | Levels of response |
| | | contributory negligence | | Level 4 (10 - 14 marks) |
| | | vicarious liabilityoccupiers' liability | | Candidate evaluates <i>Jak Ltd's</i> legal position with regard to the incident at the Leicester coffee shop. |
| | | Lee/Razia – employees' behaviour reasonable? Josef Kotka – lawful visitor cause of incident – contributing/mitigating factors injuries to Razia and Josef Kotka | | Level 3 (6 - 9 marks) Candidate analyses Jak Ltd's legal position with regard to the incident at the Leicester coffee shop. |
| | | Razia – three days off work Josef Kotka – sole trader – threat to livelihood. Exemplar response: | | Level 2 (3 – 5 marks) Candidate applies knowledge and understanding of health and safety legislation to the incident at the Leicester coffee shop. |
| | | Eg The law holds employers and employees responsible for safety in the workplace (L1). The directors could argue that it was Lee and Razia's foolish behaviour with the spider (CONT) which caused the injuries to Josef Kotka | | Level 1 (1 – 2 marks) Candidate identifies health and safety issue(s) with no use of context. |
| | | (L2). On the other hand, Lee and Razia could argue that it was the company's fault because it was the broken floor tile which actually caused Razia to slip. Jak Ltd has failed | | Please indicate each time a candidate achieves a particular level as this will help you allocate marks within that level. |
| | | to maintain the safety of the kitchen in the Leicester shop, thus breaching the Health and Safety at Work Act (L3) . No matter which of the two viewpoints the court favours | | Context should be annotated every time L2 is awarded with the 'CONT' annotation. |
| | | Jak Ltd will be liable for the serious injuries to Josef Kotka - either because the company failed to provide a safe place to work for its employees or because it is held vicariously liable for the foolish behaviour of its staff when | | Non-contextual answer max Level 1. |
| | | they found a spider (CONT) in the sink (L4). | | |

| Question | Answer | Mark | Guidance |
|----------|---------------------|------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 4 (a) | Indicative content: | 6 | AO1: 3 AO2: 3 One mark for each correct identification up to a maximum of three identifications, plus a further one mark for each of three explanations. Must be benefit of having a patent/coffee patent. Answers that purely relate to the benefit of the new coffee recipe should not be awarded. |

| Question | Answer | Mark | Guidance |
|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 4 (b) | Indicative content: Iarge amounts of paperwork Image of patent is a patent is a patent is a time consuming process (1). This would mean that the directors are likely to have less time to deal with the range of employee grievances which still exist at Jak Ltd (1). In the paperwork needed to secure a patent is complex (1). Jak Ltd may experience long delays in obtaining the patent if it is unable to provide all of the documentation required (1). | 4 | One mark for each correct identification up to a maximum of two identifications, plus a further one mark for each of two explanations. Must be about the disadvantages of having a patent and not the coffee recipe. Must be impacts. |

| Question | Answer | Mark | Guidance |
|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 4 (c) | Indicative content: • keep official company records • keep register of shareholders and directors • file company accounts • correspond with Companies House • arrange board and shareholder meetings • take minutes of board and shareholder meetings • deal with legal issues - tax, insurance, leases • maintain the registered office • ensure documentation meets legal requirements. Exemplar response: Eg Send annual return to Companies House (1). Eg Take minutes at the AGM (1). | 2 | One mark for each correct identification up to a maximum of two identifications. No context required. Do not award vague answers. |

| Quest | on Answer | Mark | Guidance |
|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 4 (d) | Use levels of response criteria. | 14 | AO1: 2 AO2: 3 AO3: 4 AO4: 5 |
| | Indicative content: • sell shares on stock exchange | | Levels of response |
| | greater access to capital increased media interest public scrutiny loss of family control divorce between ownership and control share price volatility more legal requirements public accounts £50,000 minimum authorised share capital company secretary a legal requirement | | Level 4 (10 - 14 marks) Candidate evaluates the directors' decision to change the legal status of <i>Jak</i> to a public limited company Level 3 (6 - 9 marks) Candidate analyses the advantage(s)/disadvantage(s) to <i>Jak</i> of it being a private/public limited company. Level 2 (3 - 5 marks) Candidate applies knowledge and understanding of the advantage(s)/disadvantage(s) to <i>Jak</i> of it being a |
| | mandatory AGMs re-registration documentation and procedures time financial cost opportunity cost risk of takeover premature decision – results of product testing? | | private/public limited company. Level 1 (1 – 2 marks) Candidate identifies advantage(s)/disadvantage(s) of being a private/ public limited company with no use of context Please indicate each time a candidate achieves a particular level as this will help you allocate marks within that level. |
| | Exemplar response: Eg Public limited companies are likely to be able to raise more finance than private limited companies (L1). By floating on the stock exchange Jak may be able to raise enough extra finance to fund the testing and production of the calorie burning coffee (CONT) (L2). This would allow the company to market the calorie burning coffee, potentially earning massive profits (L3). However, given that Eva has only tested the recipe on a few people (CONT), and that the results of product testing may not be positive, the decision to change the status of Jak to a | | Context should be annotated every time L2/L4 is awarded with the 'CONT' annotation. Non-contextual answer max Level 1. |

| C | Question | | Answer | Mark | Guidance |
|---|----------|--|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|----------|
| | | | public limited company seems a little premature. Reregistering would take up a great deal of time, time which may be better spent dealing with employee grievances until the results of the product testing are known (L4) . | | |

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations) 1 Hills Road Cambridge **CB1 2EU**

OCR Customer Contact Centre

Education and Learning

Telephone: 01223 553998 Facsimile: 01223 552627

Email: general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk

www.ocr.org.uk

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored

Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee Registered in England Registered Office; 1 Hills Road, Cambridge, CB1 2EU **Registered Company Number: 3484466 OCR** is an exempt Charity

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations) Head office

Telephone: 01223 552552 Facsimile: 01223 552553



