

# A-LEVEL PHYSICS

PHA3/B3/X – Investigative and practical skills in AS Physics  
Mark scheme

---

2450  
June 2014

---

Version 1.0 Final

---

Mark schemes are prepared by the Lead Assessment Writer and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation events which all associates participate in and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation process ensures that the mark scheme covers the students' responses to questions and that every associate understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for standardisation each associate analyses a number of students' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed and legislated for. If, after the standardisation process, associates encounter unusual answers which have not been raised they are required to refer these to the Lead Assessment Writer.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of students' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this Mark Scheme are available from [aqa.org.uk](http://aqa.org.uk)

Copyright © 2014 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered schools/colleges for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to schools/colleges to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

Section A Task 1				
1	(a)	results:	$h$ and $x$ for $m = 200$ to nearest mm (unit must be supplied at least once); 5 sets of $m$ and $x$ ; all $x$ to nearest mm, values increasing as $m$ increases ( $x = 0$ for $m = 200$ required; $x$ for $m = 600$ should be consistent with tabulated value) ✓	1
1	(b)	graph:	suitable vertical scale: points should cover at least half the grid vertically (withhold mark for use of a difficult or non-linear scale) ✓ 5 points plotted correctly (check at least two against <u>values in Table 1</u> including any anomalous points); (ruled) straight best fit line of positive gradient [allow curve if plotted points justify this]; maximum acceptable deviation from best fit line is 2 mm, adjust criteria if graph is poorly scaled; withhold mark if line is poorly marked ✓	2
1	(c)	explanation:	measure <u>vertical</u> height to string from bench at two or more (well-separated) points (a sketch showing two suitable positions for a ruler made vertical with a set-square <u>against the bench</u> can earn this mark) <sub>1</sub> ✓ check heights <u>are the same</u> <sub>2</sub> ✓ [compared string with horizontal edge of set square held against <u>vertical</u> ruler or against (vertical) string joined to mass hanger <sub>12</sub> ✓]	2
1	(d)(i)/ (d)(ii)	result and deduction:	$x_U$ recorded to nearest mm; $m_H$ recorded to nearest g; correct unit required here or in (d)(iii) for $m_H$ (and no conflict); evidence of working on graph to show $x_U$ read off correct to nearest mm (expect a horizontal and vertical line extending from each axis intersecting at the line) ✓	1
1	(d)(iii)	deduction:	mass of U in range 330 g to 350 g [0.34 kg] ✓✓ [320 g to 360 g, 0.33 kg or 0.35 kg ✓] (don't penalise missing unit here if given for $m_H$ ; no ecf for wrong read off, don't deduct for > 4sf answer)	2
1	(e)(i)	explanation:	(all) the ( $x$ or OP) values [range] (condone 'x extension') would be greater <sub>1</sub> ✓ by the same fraction [percentage/by 50%] [3 <u>each</u> extend the same as each of 2 did / stiffness reduced by 1/3 / stiffness becomes 2/3] (allow $\frac{1}{k_{total}} = \frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k} \left[ = \frac{3}{k} \right]$ ) <sub>2</sub> ✓ the gradient of the graph would be greater [graph would be steeper] <sub>3</sub> ✓ [gradient steeper by factor of 1.5 earns <sub>2</sub> ✓ <sub>3</sub> ✓]	3
1	(e)(ii)	explanation:	value of U would be unchanged/same (reject 'similar' or ideas about reduced uncertainty) ✓	1
				<b>12</b>

Section A Task 2				
1	(a)	accuracy:	$\varepsilon$ and $V_X$ recorded to 0.01 V or to 0.001 V, precision consistent with that for raw data in part (b) or lose SF mark in (b); the unit must be seen for at least one of $\varepsilon$ or $V_X$ $\frac{\varepsilon}{V_X}$ no unit, in range 1.06 to 1.10, minimum 3 sf but allow > 4sf (but then withhold sf mark in (c)) ✓ <b>note that this is the only part of Section A where excessive sf are penalised</b>	1
1	(a)(iv)	explanation:	mark awarded for any correct statement, eg voltmeter readings (for $\varepsilon$ and $V_X$ ) would be lower (or 0/2) (condone 'ε is the same' but don't allow contradiction, eg 'reading for ε is the same') ✓ some pd dropped (condone 'lost') across <u>contact</u> resistance (at P and/or N) (reject $V = IR$ argument, 'lower current', 'greater circuit/internal resistance' or idea that contact resistance adds to internal resistance) ✓	2
1	(b)	tabulation:	$R \quad / \Omega \quad V \quad / V$ [or mV] ✓ withhold mark for any missing label or separator: only give credit if units are supplied in the table headings; allow 'voltage' or 'pd' for $V$ but reject 'volts' or 'voltmeter reading'	1
		results:	7 sets of $R$ and $V$ , values of $R$ correctly calculated to the nearest $\Omega$ : 154 $\Omega$ , 115 $\Omega$ , 107 $\Omega$ , 86 $\Omega$ , 68 $\Omega$ , 47 $\Omega$ , 39 $\Omega$ ) ✓✓ deduct 1 mark for each missing set and for each wrongly calculated value of $R$ (max deduction 2 marks) deduct 1 mark if any calculation of $R$ is incomplete, eg 39 + 47 + 68 when 154 is required deduct 1 mark if $R$ is not in the left-hand column of a table with data arranged in columns or if the data is not recorded in a single coherent table	2
		significant figures:	all $V$ (including $\varepsilon$ and $V_X$ ) to nearest 0.01 V or all to nearest 0.001 V ✓	1

Section A Task 2 continued				
1	(c)	tabulation:	$\frac{1}{R} \quad (/ \Omega^{-1}) \quad \frac{\varepsilon}{V} \quad$ (no unit) ✓ (accept either order)	1
1	(c)	significant figures:	all $\frac{\varepsilon}{V}$ (including $\frac{\varepsilon}{V_X}$ ) to 3 sf or all to 4 sf (ignore sf in $\frac{1}{R}$ ; don't penalise if the derived data is recorded with the raw data in (b)) ✓	1

	axes:	marked $\frac{\varepsilon}{V}$ (no unit), $\frac{1}{R}/\Omega^{-1}$ [ $\frac{1}{\Omega}$ ] ✓✓ deduct ½ for each missing label or separator, rounding down; no mark if axes are reversed; don't penalise here for missing separator if already penalised in (b); deduct ½ for unit wrongly supplied with $\frac{\varepsilon}{V}$ unless already penalised in (a); accept appropriate use of multiplier consistent with numerical values on axes, eg $\frac{1}{R}(\times) 10^3/\Omega^{-1}$ or $/10^{-3}(\times) \Omega^{-1}$ , or $/k\Omega^{-1}$ either or both marks may be lost if the interval between the numerical values is marked with a frequency of > 5 cm	<b>2</b>
	scales:	points should cover at least half the grid horizontally ✓ <u>and</u> half the grid vertically ✓ (if necessary, a false origin should be used to meet these criteria and this must be clearly marked; either or both marks may be lost for use of a difficult or non-linear scale)	<b>2</b>
	points:	all tabulated points plotted correctly (check at least three, including any anomalous points); 6 or 7 ✓✓✓ [5 ✓✓, 4 ✓] 1 mark is deducted for each item of false data a mark is deducted for each plotted point for which the data has not been tabulated 1 mark is deducted if any point is poorly marked (don't deduct here for poorly marked points if deduction has already been made in Task 1) and for every point > 1 mm from correct position	<b>3</b>
	line:	(ruled) best fit straight line of positive gradient ✓ maximum acceptable deviation from best fit line is 2 mm, adjust criteria if graph is poorly scaled; withhold mark if line is poorly marked (don't deduct here for poorly marked line if deduction has already been made in Task 1)	<b>1</b>
	quality:	at least 6 points to $\pm 2$ mm of a suitable line of positive constant gradient (judge from graph and adjust criteria if graph is poorly scaled) ✓	<b>1</b>
			<b>18</b>

Section B			
1	(a)(i)	<p>valid attempt at gradient calculation and correct transfer of data <b>or</b> <math>_{12}\checkmark = 0</math> (if a curve is drawn in error a tangent should be drawn to form the hypotenuse of the triangle)</p> <p>correct transfer of y- and x-step data between graph and calculation <math>_1\checkmark</math></p> <p>(mark is withheld if points used to determine either step &gt; 1 mm from correct position on grid; if tabulated points are used these must lie on the line)</p> <p>y-step and x-step both at least 8 semi-major grid squares <math>_2\checkmark</math> [5 by 13 or 13 by 5] (if a poorly-scaled graph is drawn the hypotenuse of the gradient triangle should be extended to meet the 8 x 8 criteria)</p> <p>[for POT error <math>_{12}\checkmark = 1</math> MAX and allow ecf in (c)(iii)]</p>	<b>2</b>
1	(a)(ii)	<p><math>l</math>, no unit, in range 0.99 to 1.01; evidence of working is required, either algebra or direct read off (reject 1 sf or 2 sf answers)<math>\checkmark</math></p>	<b>1</b>
1	(b)	<p>graph (of <math>\frac{\varepsilon}{V}</math> against <math>\frac{1}{R}</math>) is <u>linear/straight line</u> [of form <math>y = mx + c</math>] <math>_1\checkmark</math></p> <p><math>\frac{\varepsilon}{V} = y</math> and <math>\frac{1}{R} = x</math> [graph plotted was <math>\frac{\varepsilon}{V}</math> against <math>\frac{1}{R}</math>] <math>_2\checkmark</math></p> <p><math>r</math> is equal to gradient [<math>m</math>] <math>_3\checkmark</math></p> <p>[unambiguous statement that <math>\frac{\varepsilon}{V} = \left(r \times \frac{1}{R}\right) + 1</math> is of form <math>y = (m \times x) + c</math>, or</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; display: inline-block; margin: 10px 0;"> <math display="block">\frac{\varepsilon}{V} = \left(r \times \frac{1}{R}\right) + 1</math> <math display="block">y = (m \times x) + c</math> </div> <p>straightforward comparison, eg aligned equations, earns</p> <p><math>_{123}\checkmark\checkmark\checkmark</math>; rearrangement to <math>\frac{\varepsilon}{V} = \left(r \times \frac{1}{R}\right) + 1</math> so <math>r =</math> gradient earns <math>_2\checkmark\checkmark\checkmark</math>]</p> <p>[when <math>\frac{\varepsilon}{V} = 2</math>, <math>\frac{r}{R} = 1</math> [<math>r = R</math>] <math>_1\checkmark</math>; <u>calculate</u> <math>\frac{1}{R}</math> corresponding to <math>\frac{\varepsilon}{V} = 2</math> <math>_2\checkmark</math>;</p> <p><math>r =</math> reciprocal of the value of <math>\frac{1}{R}</math> (that corresponds to <math>\frac{\varepsilon}{V} = 2</math>) <math>_3\checkmark</math>]</p> <p>(reject idea for <math>_3\checkmark</math> that <math>r</math> can be found by using <math>\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{V} - 1\right) \times R</math> using tabulated values unless these <u>lie on the line</u> or an <u>average</u> of several of ratios is to be calculated)</p>	<b>3</b>

1	(c)(i)	the voltmeter has infinite [ <u>very high</u> ] resistance [no current flows in voltmeter/circuit] ✓	1
1	(c)(ii)	sensible reference to the <u>internal resistance</u> , eg (current flows in power supply leading to) pd across [energy transformed in] the internal resistance [( $V_X < \varepsilon$ because $\varepsilon = V + Ir$ and) $Ir$ is no longer zero] (condone 'some voltage [emf] is lost across internal resistance'; reject idea that internal resistance increases) ✓	1
1	(c)(iii)	$\frac{GV_X}{\varepsilon - V_X}$ with unit, in range $123\ \Omega$ to $136\ \Omega$ ✓✓ [ $116\ \Omega$ to $142\ \Omega$ ✓] (ecf for wrong multiplier with $\frac{1}{R}$ in Task 2)  <b>note that this is the only part of Section B where excessive sf are penalised</b>	2

2	(a)	6 points plotted correctly <u>to 1 mm</u> (check one, including any anomalous points); smooth curve to 2 mm of <u>all 6 points</u> , of decreasing negative gradient drawn <u>up to <math>n = 20</math></u> ; this mark is deducted if any point is poorly marked or if the line is poorly marked (don't deduct here for poorly marked points or line if deduction has already been made in Task 1 or in Task 2) ✓	1
		value of $V_{20}$ read off correctly, in range 0.3(0) to 0.4(0) (V); allow 1 sf ✓	1
2	(b)	$P = P_{\max}$ when $n = 5.75$ to $5.85$ (accept evidence of valid read off in working; withhold mark if unit supplied) <sub>1</sub> ✓ $\frac{1}{R} = \left[ \frac{1}{r} \right] = \frac{n}{22} \left( \because r = \frac{22}{5.80} \right)$ $\left[ \text{working to this effect, eg } \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{22} + \frac{1}{22} + \frac{1}{22} + \frac{1}{22} + \frac{1}{22} + \frac{(n-5)}{22} = \frac{23}{88} \right]$ [read off $P_{\max}$ from Figure 14 (expect to see 161 mW); <u>evidence</u> from line drawn from $n$ axis to curve then line drawn to $V$ axis, that $V$ read off from Figure 13 for $P = P_{\max}$ (should get 0.78 V); $r = \frac{V^2}{P}$ when $P = P_{\max}$ <sub>2</sub> ✓] $r$ in range $3.70\ \Omega$ to $3.89\ \Omega$ or $3.8\ \Omega$ <input type="checkbox"/> (don't penalise for excessive sf) <sub>3</sub> ✓	3

3	(a)(i)	connect a resistor of <u>known</u> resistance (between X and Y) <sub>1</sub> ✓ or <sub>2</sub> ✓ = <sub>3</sub> ✓ = 0 <u>mark</u> [record] on the scale (the position of the needle for) this (resistance) value; (don't give credit for simply marking $0 \Omega$ or $\infty \Omega$ ) <sub>2</sub> ✓ or <sub>3</sub> ✓ = 0 <u>repeat for different resistors</u> (that cover the whole range of the needle movement) <sub>3</sub> ✓ [read/record scale reading [current] (for resistor of <u>known resistance</u> ) <sub>2</sub> ✓; plot a (calibration) graph (of resistance against scale reading [current]) <sub>3</sub> ✓]	<b>3</b>
3	(a)(ii)	no change in the (resistance) reading ✓	<b>1</b>
		(resistance) reading is increased [needle moves further to left] (ignore reference to current unless this conflicts with statement about how resistance reading changes) ✓	<b>1</b>
3	(b)(i)	difficult to interpolate [estimate the resistance when the needle falls between (any) marked graduations] (ignore difficult to read larger resistances) <sub>1</sub> ✓ states that the scale is <u>non-linear</u> <u>[values / numbers / markings / graduations / divisions</u> are not evenly-spaced / do not go up by same factor / increase at changing rate; <u>intervals / increments / steps</u> are not the same / even / regular / constant difference/distance between <u>values / markings / graduations / intervals / divisions</u> changes [can use example from Figure 17 to illustrate this]; same distance on scale does not represent the same change in <u>value / reading</u> (as resistance changes)] (reject <u>scale</u> does not go up at regular intervals / <u>scale</u> does not up by same factor / is not even / doesn't go up at a normal rate / is different in different places / goes backwards) <sub>2</sub> ✓	<b>2</b>
3	(b)(ii)	idea that should view scale so that needle <u>hides</u> [ <u>lines up with</u> ] its <u>reflection</u> [reflection of needle is hidden when viewed from directly above the needle] ✓ <u>eliminates</u> [avoids/reduces] <u>parallax</u> (error) [ensures that the scale is viewed <u>normally</u> / view is <u>perpendicular</u> to scale] (reject 'cannot see reflection') ✓	<b>2</b>
3	(b)(iii)	uncertainty increases as the resistance increases [uncertainty decreases as resistance decreases] (ignore reference to error or to accuracy) ✓	<b>1</b>
			<b>25</b>